

Early Indigenous engagement with mining in the Pilbara: Lessons from a historical perspective

S. Holcombe

WORKING PAPER No. 24/2004

[Revised August 2006]

ISSN 1442-3871

ISBN 0 7315 4923 6



CENTRE
FOR ABORIGINAL ECONOMIC
POLICY RESEARCH

SERIES NOTE

The Centre for Aboriginal Economic Policy Research (CAEPR) was established at The Australian National University (ANU) in April 1990. From 1990 to 2003 the Centre's main research partner was the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Commission. Since 1 January 1999, CAEPR has operated as a University Centre and is currently funded from a variety of sources including the ANU, Australian Research Council, industry partners, and the Department of Family, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs.

CAEPR's principal objective is to undertake high-quality, independent research that will assist in furthering the social and economic development and empowerment of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people throughout Australia. Its aim is to be a world-class centre undertaking research on Indigenous economic development issues that combines academic excellence with policy relevance and realism.

CAEPR is currently Australia's major dedicated research centre focusing on Indigenous economic and social policy from a national perspective. The Centre's publications, which include the CAEPR Working Paper series established in 1999, aim to report on Indigenous circumstance, inform public debate, examine government policy, and influence policy formulation.

Working Papers are often work-in-progress reports and are produced for rapid distribution to enable widespread discussion and comment. They are available in electronic format only for free download from CAEPR's website:

[<www.anu.edu.au/caepr/>](http://www.anu.edu.au/caepr/)

Enquiries may be directed to:

The Centre for Aboriginal Economic Policy Research
Hanna Neumann Building #21
The Australian National University
Canberra ACT 0200

Telephone 02-6125 8211
Facsimile 02-6125 9730

As with all CAEPR publications, the views expressed in this Working Paper are those of the author(s) and do not reflect any official CAEPR position.

Professor Jon Altman
Director, CAEPR
The Australian National University
August 2006

Cover page images courtesy of the Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies and CAEPR staff members.

This Working Paper has been revised from the original edition. It should be cited as:
Holcombe, S. 2006 (2004). 'Early Indigenous engagement with mining in the Pilbara: lessons from a historical perspective', *CAEPR Working Paper No. 24*, Revised Edition, Centre for Aboriginal Economic Policy Research, The Australian National University, Canberra,
<<http://www.anu.edu.au/caepr/Publications/WP/CAEPRWP24.pdf>>.

Early Indigenous engagement with mining in the Pilbara: Lessons from a historical perspective

S. Holcombe¹

1. Research Fellow, Centre for Aboriginal Economic Policy Research, The Australian National University, Canberra; e-mail: sarah.holcombe@anu.edu.au

ABSTRACT

This paper traces the development of the first private company set up by Aboriginal people in Western Australia. This company, Northern Development and Mining (Nodom), was formed in the late 1940s to enable Aboriginal members of the company to develop an economic base from mining and pastoralism in the Pilbara. The methods by which this company operated and the impetus behind its formation, which stemmed from a pastoral strike and associated social movement, is instructive today. Nevertheless, this paper does not attempt to provide a comprehensive overview of this early movement and the associated companies of Pindan and Nomad. Rather, it aims to draw out and interrogate structural elements of these early organisations that can shed light on contemporary Indigenous organisations and management issues. This selective historical mapping focuses on the structure of leadership and the associated issues surrounding access to benefits within these early organisations—offering insights into the operations of contemporary Indigenous organisations set up to harness economic and social development from major mines in the Pilbara region. Questions are raised that will assist in the analyses and formulation of effective governance structures in this same region today. Researching the history of settlement and early development is an essential prologue to contextualising current Indigenous responses to mining and associated development today.

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| Abstract | i |
| Contents..... | ii |
| Acknowledgments..... | ii |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Regional historical overview..... | 2 |
| Early Aboriginal political activism and organisations in the region..... | 4 |
| What lessons can be drawn from the energy and structure of these early companies? | 6 |
| Dispersed governance | 8 |
| Contemporary organisations | 9 |
| Management of conflict | 10 |
| Structures of authority and leadership..... | 11 |
| The company as inter-cultural phenomenon..... | 13 |
| Conclusion | 14 |
| Notes | 16 |
| References..... | 19 |

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This working paper derives from a paper given in the Centre for Aboriginal Economic Policy Research (CAEPR) seminar series, a pre-fieldwork paper for the Australian Research Council (ARC) project 'Indigenous Community Organisations and Miners: Partnering Sustainable Regional Development?' The focus of this ARC project is the implementation of land use agreements and the effectiveness of benefit flows to the Indigenous recipients. I have undertaken two periods of field research in this Pilbara region since the seminar paper was presented, and have considerably re-cast the original paper in the light of this experience. The first field-site of this multi-site project are the Rio Tinto Hamersley Iron and Robe River mines in this region. I would like to thank Peter Coppin and Teddy Allen for their patience in recollection, during a visit to their respective outstations. I would also like to acknowledge the generosity with which many members of the Gumala Aboriginal Corporation living in Tom Price, and surrounding communities, Port Hedland, South Hedland, Roebourne, Wickham and Karratha gave of their time to talk with us; likewise Gumala staff, including Larry Softley and Bill Day. Staff members of the Pilbara Native Title Service in Tom Price and Port Hedland were also giving of their time and knowledge. Thanks also to the Chairman of Innawonga Banjyma Niapaili Corporation for offering us his insights. I would also like to thank Jon Altman, David Martin, David Trigger, Julie Finlayson, Robert Levitus, Bruce Harvey, Elizabeth Bradshaw and John Scougall for their comments and appreciated suggestions on this paper. I remain responsible for any errors in interpretation.

INTRODUCTION

This paper stems from background research undertaken for the ARC linkage project 'Indigenous community organisations and miners: Partnering sustainable regional development?' The industry partners for this project are Rio Tinto and the Committee for the Economic Development of Australia (CEDA). Over the last ten years in the Pilbara there has been a flowering of Indigenous organisations. Many of these have developed in response to agreements, both commercial and under the Native Title Act, with mining companies for large-scale mining projects—principally iron ore. The wider research question for this project is to what extent can the mining industry contribute to the regional economy and wider social sustainability through its engagement with Indigenous stakeholders. My research focus in this project involves the examination of the organisational structures set up by Indigenous groups to manage and disburse the potential benefits that flow from these land use agreements. An analysis of the early organisations set up to harness commercial enterprise offers an instructive comparison with the contemporary situation. This paper is a work in progress, investigating the common themes that emerge from these inter-cultural organisations. Are there comparable issues of organisational structure, representation and leadership? How can we learn from reflecting on the past?

There are two major aspects to this paper. First, it briefly sketches a history of the socio-political conditions of Indigenous people in this region, as these conditions led to the pastoral strike in the 1940s and the development of the first Indigenous company in Western Australia. This historical sketch indicates ways of tackling the research questions that are raised about the intersections of the state, the development bodies and Indigenous 'groups' and organisations. Second, this paper looks at lessons that can be learnt from early Indigenous organisations—the Nodum, Pindan and Nomad Companies, that were formed in the 1940s, 1950s and 1960s respectively for mining and pastoral ventures. Wilson (1961, 1980) and Rowley (1970) use the term 'movement' to describe the impetus behind these organisations. The terms 'Pindan social movement' and the 'Pilbara Aboriginal social movement' are often conflated. According to Wilson (1961: 388), behind these organisations was a 'reformist, highly organised, non-Millennarian, coordinated and, if consideration of the predominant value emphasis is added, egalitarian' agenda. However, the momentum of this principally ideological agenda slowed considerably for many of the early Indigenous founders. The 'split', as it became known, in the early company and the subsequent re-formation highlight some of the central issues.

Strong trends emerge and permeate through to the present, particularly the issue of 'cash in hand' payments, indicative of tensions between individualism and collectivism. Perhaps surprisingly, there is a strong resonance in the ideologies behind these early companies, between the socialist ideology of the 1950s co-operatives and the contemporary liberal reformative ideology post-native title. In this context of 'development' through mining both ideologies seek the economic experience to be an absolute, encompassing social and political process with a view to long term benefit. The contemporary terminology for this ideology is expressed in terms of 'community benefit packages', where cash payments and entrepreneurial activity, perceived as individualistic, short term and financially risky, have been actively discouraged under certain of the contemporary Trust structures. However, this trend appears to be lessening.

This paper navigates between the competing accounts and perspectives on this early movement and resultant companies. Because this movement was so radical for the time, it has received significant attention from scholars in anthropology and history, biographers of key Indigenous leaders involved, while an autobiography and a novel were also written. These not only provide a wide spectrum of perspectives, but often make it difficult to assess, in a dispassionate manner, the efficacy of these early companies in delivering to Indigenous people the economic and social freedom for which they had striven. For instance, for Don McLeod, the non-Aboriginal man who facilitated the formation of these companies, a central vision

ARC:
Australian Research
Council

CEDA:
Committee for
the Economic
Development of
Australia

driving these organisations was social justice through a strong socialist ideology. Hence, the companies operated as co-operatives. Some significant policy reforms that developed during this period in Western Australia are a direct result of the activism of these organisations, such as an increase in cash wages for Aboriginal pastoral workers (Rowley 1970: 261). Ironically, the cost of pursuing many of these wider social justice issues left Aboriginal people directly involved worse off, however. Many of the court cases were paid for through their earnings. Workers did not receive cash wages, as the profits from the company flowed back into developing and maintaining the company infrastructure and fighting these larger battles. A significant number of Aboriginal company members did not find this financial arrangement equitable and raised questions about control of the 'cheque book' (Palmer & McKenna 1978: 108) and the structure of the company that allowed this external ideology to dominate.

Analysing this leadership structure and the interaction between McLeod and the Indigenous company members allows us to consider such issues as how his personal relationship with people influenced and drove their commitment and loyalty to the 'cause', the tensions between individual autonomy and the collectivity, and the diversity of expectations among the Aboriginal company members. Analysis of such issues is revealing of the types of governance structures for commercial enterprise that were instituted in this region in the past, and the types of men who became leaders. Likewise, analysing this early inter-cultural engagement has the potential to inform current research on Indigenous self-management in the same region. For instance, Wilson's ethnographic material from this early period suggests that economic success was achieved largely within an Aboriginal cultural framework. This conclusion, however, appears to be premised on an ideal perception of Indigenous communality and egalitarianism, which resonated with some members of the company more than with others. For example, the leaders from the riverline/coastal groups and their followers were the ones who 'split' from McLeod because of disagreement over the arrangement of the co-operative, while many Aboriginal people from the desert group remained with him.

REGIONAL HISTORICAL OVERVIEW

Unlike many other remote regions in Australia, there has been very little mission presence in the Pilbara. Pastoralists were active in opposing missions in the region on the grounds that they would take away land and Aboriginal workers (McLeod 1984: 68, Wilson 1980: 157). As a result there were no reserves set aside for Aboriginal people during the 1940s to 1960s, as there were in the desert regions of Western Australia such as Jigalong and Wiluna. The discouragement of missions in this region was an indication of the early Department of Native Affairs level of responsiveness to the pastoralists as the major regional economic stakeholders. The State government actively promoted settler development in this resource-rich region, to the detriment of any independent advocacy for Aboriginal people in the Pilbara. There was no buffer between Aboriginal people and developmental interests related to exploitation of the land. Relations between Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal people initially occurred through the pastoral industry, and according to Edmunds are now viewed by Aboriginal people nostalgically as 'when people lived in harmony' (1989: 21). While this view does not accord with the realities of the harsh indenture system that led to the strike action of the 1940s, it contrasts with the subsequent negative relations with the mining industry (Edmunds 1989) from the 1960s and which are now beginning to be addressed.¹

Aboriginal people in the Pilbara are not strangers to mining. Gold was discovered at Nullagine (about 240 kilometres north east of the Hamersley mines) in 1878, and alluvial tin four years later. By 1906 about 300 Aboriginal people were panning in these tin fields (Wilson 1980: 152) developing a small-scale subsistence economy by selling bags of alluvial tin and gold to the local storekeeper for flour, tea and sugar (Stuart 1959: 38). In other cases the local policeman would buy the product at a lower price, at the threat of 'hunt[ing Aboriginal people] away from the gold country', and on-sell it (Stuart 1959: 38). This early period

of the Aboriginal alluvial mining economy was also the basis of a novel entitled *Yandy* by Donald Stuart (1959). It focused on this early Indigenous engagement with mining, the hardships faced on the tin fields, and the impetus for the pastoral strike (Stuart 1959).² The author, Donald Stuart, was a 'special category officer' for the Department of Native Affairs (Wilson 1961: 104) and his account competes somewhat with McLeod's, as discussed below.

These Indigenous miners introduced a technical innovation in the use of a traditional winnowing dish and container, known as the *yandy*. This flattened dish enabled Aboriginal people to compete effectively with European miners on the tin fields. The fact that this type of mining was labour-intensive also meant many otherwise unskilled Aboriginal people could be successful. Those most skilled in its use were Aboriginal women (Wilson 1980: 153), perhaps because of the implement's similarity to the winnowing dishes predominantly used by them.³ Women were employed equally, and in fact were so central to the mining operations of the Pindan Company that a child-care camp, known as 'kids camp', allowed them to work full time (Wilson 1961: 141). In this period before citizenship rights all able bodied Aboriginal people worked in pastoralism or alluvial mining.⁴ Until 1967 Aboriginal people in the Pilbara still held 30 mining tenements in the northwest and 28 in the Eastern Goldfields (Edmunds 1989: 48). Later however, the mineral leases were increasingly taken up by large mining companies and Aboriginal people became less able to compete.

The town of Roebourne was founded in 1866, two years after the first white pastoralists arrived in the region.⁵ Today the majority of the population of this town of approximately 950 are Aboriginal.⁶ However, until the 1960s it was basically a non-Indigenous town operating as the administrative centre of the region and up until this time authorities imposed strict controls on Aboriginal movements both to and from the town. With the decline of pastoralism in the 1960s and 1970s,⁷ Aboriginal people began moving into Roebourne and the surrounding area. During this same period, major mining companies took up tenements in the area, largely as a result of the lifting of the trade embargo on iron ore in 1950s.⁸ Construction of large open cut mines commenced, along with company towns to house the influx of employees (Edmunds 1989: 28). The first major mine, Mt Tom Price, was opened in 1966 and the closed company town and port of Dampier was constructed for its workers in 1965. In 1968 the open town of Karratha was built and another mining company, Cliffs Robe River, constructed its own port and company town of Wickham. These new towns are respectively 38 kilometres and 12 kilometres from Roebourne. By the early 1970s there were nine closed company towns in the Pilbara, Pannawonica, Dampier, Tom Price, Paraburdoo, Newman, South Hedland, Goldsworthy, Shay Gap and Wickham. The development of these towns (and the earlier Wittenoom) is, according to Edmunds, 'perhaps the most visible demonstration of the extent to which control over social as well as economic development was ceded by the State government to the mining companies in the interests of rapid and large-scale resource exploitation' (Edmunds 1989: 49).

These towns crystallised the economic and social marginalisation of the Aboriginal population. Roebourne became an Aboriginal town as its resources, including non-Aboriginal service workers, were moved to neighbouring Karratha and Wickham. Karratha became the new regional administrative centre. One Shire Councillor suggested that the key reason for not simply expanding the existing town of Roebourne was that the new 'residents were worried that they would have to live with a large Aboriginal population' (in Edmunds 1989: 11). In 1989, 80 per cent of the regional Aboriginal population of the Shire lived in Roebourne (Edmunds 1989: 13). Although today these new towns are becoming 'normalised'—open to non-mining employees—the Aboriginal population would appear to have little incentive to leave Roebourne, where Indigenous resources have been centralised. An outstation, or homelands, movement has, however, developed particularly in the region of the iron ore mines in the central Pilbara.

Edmunds' research in Roebourne on the political economy of race relations up to the late 1980s, provides a useful base-line for the ARC project. It reminds us how recently the mining industry and companies, such as Rio Tinto, have been actively engaged with Aboriginal people⁹:

As one Hamersley Iron employee at Tom Price explained [in relation to setting up their operations in 1966], 'Hamersley had no problems with Aboriginal people because there were no Aboriginal organisations that had to be dealt with' (Edmunds 1989: 47).

The exclusion of Aboriginal labour was inherent in the planning of these new mines. The then Department of Native Affairs concluded that there were no employment opportunities for Aboriginal people (Edmunds 1989: 48), despite the fact that the iron ore industry, particularly in that early stage, was heavily reliant on non-skilled labour and despite Aboriginal people's early experience with mining. Between 1961 and 1981 the regional population increased by 1400 per cent because of this introduced workforce.

It seems that a key factor in the Indigenous political economy of this region was the absence of intermediaries in the form of missions¹⁰, a focused Department of Native Affairs¹¹, or the introduction of statutory advocacy bodies for Indigenous land rights (as occurred in 1976 in the Northern Territory). Aboriginal people have had to operate independently. Indeed, as Wilson noted, the general desire for independence was reinforced by early experience with the Department of Native Affairs (1961: 186). The Pilbara protest and subsequent Aboriginal companies challenged the authority and legal controls of the state over Aboriginal lives and, as Clancy McKenna stated, assisted them 'to play the white man at his own game' (Palmer & McKenna 1978: 109). In relation to the development of large scale mining it has been observed that 'if [Aboriginal people] happened to be sitting down in a mission on the site of the [mineral] finds, their cases would [have been] pressed' (Rowley 1970: 168–9). As it was, Aboriginal people had to press the case for economic and social rights themselves. This was done initially in relation to the pastoral industry in the 1940s, followed by the development of Indigenously owned commercial mining companies in the 1950s and pastoral companies in the 1960s.

NTRB:

Native Title
Representative
Body

ATSIC:

Aboriginal
and Torres
Strait Islander
Commission

One of the ramifications of this political and economic autonomy has been the fraught introduction of native title representative bodies (NTRBs) under the *Native Title Act 1993*. Ironically, the relationships that some Aboriginal people, both individually and as members of family groups, have fostered over the years with development interests in the region are stronger, than with the new organisations designated to represent them (such as NTRBs or the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Commission (ATSIC) regional council). Because of this, and the diversity of Indigenous socio-histories, attempts to foster a regional approach to the Indigenous polity in this Pilbara region has a fraught recent history.

These different political environments—of Native Welfare in the 1940s and post-native title in the early 2000s, have implications for Indigenous organisational solidarity. Comparing the politically oppositional environment within which these early companies emerged to the negotiated reconciliation environment of today, provides the opportunity to consider the possibilities of governance structures within the wider socio-political economy.

EARLY ABORIGINAL POLITICAL ACTIVISM AND ORGANISATIONS IN THE REGION

From 1946 to 1949 Aboriginal people employed in the pastoral industry across the eastern Pilbara staged a series of strikes. The return to station work occurred only when demands for pay and better conditions were met. Some never returned but instead took up new economic opportunities. Because Aboriginal labour on these stations was indentured, strikers were arrested and sentenced to jail terms, especially the strike leaders, who included Dooley BinBin, Peter Coppin, Clancy McKenna and Ernie Mitchell.¹² It is worth

noting that this walk-off, or strike, happened 20 years before the Gurindji walk-off from Wave Hill station in the Northern Territory in 1966, which is now considerably more famous. However, unlike the Northern Territory, in the eastern Pilbara, 'there were widespread rumours that stations were likely to close down because of poor seasons and decreasing profits. The future of the station culture appeared insecure. The situation was highly conducive to the spread of a movement which offered an alternative' (Wilson 1961: 385). To coordinate this strike action a social movement developed that effectively introduced industrial bargaining techniques to a group that had been economically and socially disenfranchised, with only their labour with which to bargain.

The (recently deceased) Don McLeod, was the non-Aboriginal facilitator for this movement. In his work as a prospector and miner, and to some degree in the pastoral industry, he had noticed that Aboriginal people were grossly exploited by the settlers. While working at one of the stations he discussed his views with Kitchener—another station worker—who turned out to be a senior ritual leader for the area. Kitchener told others of this white Australian who spoke strongly of Aboriginal rights. McLeod was invited to a large ritual gathering where, according to McLeod, there were 23 language groups represented (McLeod 1984: 40). The strike was planned at this 1942 bush meeting, and took four years to gain shape and momentum. However, this 'movement' involved more than striking for better conditions from the pastoral industry: a mining company was also formed to coordinate what many Aboriginal people in the Pilbara were already doing. This company, Northern Development and Mining (Nodom), was the first Aboriginal-owned company in Western Australia (Read & Coppin 1999: 113). It grew rapidly from 1949, so that between 1951 to 1953 there were 700 members of this company.¹³ However, as Wilson indicates:

this increase in size brought problems of co-ordination, and of inculcating the rules and practices which had been developed. Some found abiding by group decisions irksome. Also, the more casual kin-oriented groupings were having to be modified somewhat to meet the demands of the wider organisation. Previously, each small group worked largely as an independent economic unit (1980: 164).

These increasingly complex management methods will be examined in further detail in the following sections in order to highlight the leadership structures and the role that McLeod played in the organisation.

The company purchased Yandeyarra station and three other stations with profits from mining, and established a home for Aboriginal hospital outpatients at Marble Bar. As well as working Yandeyarra as a pastoral enterprise, it built a hospital clinic and a school there.¹⁴ It centralised all income and worked on an 'all found' basis—providing for greater expenditure on capital and development work such as road building and purchasing equipment (Wilson 1980: 164). This pooling of earnings for the 'common good' accorded with McLeod's ideology of communism. It was also one of the major factors focused on by the Council for Aboriginal Rights based in Melbourne, who were active supporters of McLeod's involvement in the company.¹⁵ (For this same reason, however, many prominent Indigenous members of the company left, and the company split in 1959).

However, by late 1953 the company had lost £30,000 and was forced into liquidation by the State. The company membership dwindled to just over 300 (Rowley 1970: 255).¹⁶ This was due to prolonged litigation over mining claims, problems with contaminated ore and continuing disputes with the State Department of Native Affairs. McLeod robustly argued that this failure was 'due to the legal and administrative contrivances instituted by the State in order to break the spirit of the organised blackfellows' (McLeod 1984: 109). The Department of Native Affairs sought to take control by setting up the 'Pilbara Natives Society' and selling off some of the company's assets. Yandeyarra station closed and the company was liquidated (Wilson 1980: 165). Wilson states that 'many returned to the stations, where higher wages were now available, or [again] set up small independent [alluvial mining] groups around Marble Bar, Nullagine, or out from Port Hedland' (1980: 165). The Pilbara Natives Society lasted less than two years, and within that time McLeod was asked by some of the previous members to assist in setting up another company.

The Pindan Company was formed in 1955 and by 1959 employed 300 Aboriginal people, approximately one-third of the Aboriginal people in the area of its operation (Wilson 1961: 136).¹⁷ There were six work camps, an administrative camp and two others, mining for eight different minerals including tin, copper, wolfram, gold and manganese (Rowley 1970: 257). They also had a joint management arrangement with Sims, a metal purchasing company. Again, in line with McLeod's communitarian ideals, wage payments were minimal and according to some, such as Coppin, non-existent. This was apparently so more equipment could be bought for the company. However, as happened previously, and according to McLeod with the encouragement of the Department of Native Affairs, a split formed, with those who sought higher wages opposing McLeod (McLeod 1984: 101–8). The tension between the Department of Native Affairs and McLeod is a persistent theme in all of the written material. Nevertheless, linking the issue of wages with the Department could be viewed as patronising to Indigenous people. For instance, Clancy McKenna held the position that 'you've got to give people what they earn, and make the lazy ones get on—otherwise you just got a few workers and the rest are bludgers' (1978: 108). This split could also be partly due to a lack of flexibility on McLeod's part, in his overriding commitment to the co-operative.

In the mid 1960s McLeod and his followers, principally desert people, formed the Nomads Company in the Roebourne area. This dichotomy between the desert and riverline/coastal Aboriginal groups was one of the major sources of conflict within the company and is an issue in the region today. Although the desert people outnumbered the riverline/coastal people by 2:1 in company membership, all the powerful positions were held by the riverline/coastal people. One reason for this was that riverline/coastal people had a history of greater contact with Europeans and as a result had a greater command of English and business skills, while the desert people were stronger in terms of their knowledge of Indigenous law (Wilson 1961: 205–207). In this context these differences could be considered in terms of the riverline/coastal people tending toward individualism and autonomy, and desert people tending toward collectivity. This will be discussed further below.

By 1967 the Nomads Company were supplying some of the labour for the new mining developments at Port Hedland and maintaining itself through mining. The earlier Pindan movement continued to provide a voice for Aboriginal people in the Pilbara: its Aboriginal Director (Peter Coppin) gave evidence to the Select Committee on Voting Rights in 1961, for instance (Rowley 1970: 169). However, he was not an active member of the company at that time, as he and Ernie Mitchell had set up their own company, the Mugarinya Pastoral Company. They had eventually re-acquired Yandeyarra and part of White Springs station through negotiations with the State Government. It is now held by the Aboriginal Lands Trust (Wilson 1980: 166, see also McLeod 1984: 109). By the 1980s the Nomads Group, formed after the Pindan split, was based at Strelley pastoral station (to the south east of Port Hedland), while the remaining members of Pindan group, also known as the Mugarinya group, had Yandeyarra station as their base.

WHAT LESSONS CAN BE DRAWN FROM THE ENERGY AND STRUCTURE OF THESE EARLY COMPANIES?

McLeod himself claimed that the major impetus for the success of the Pilbara Aboriginal movements and affiliated companies was that it was *their* enterprise, not his (1984: 99). This is McLeod's perspective and this issue of ownership is a complex one. In fact, the company was referred to as 'McLeod's Pindan group', as he toured the east coast under the auspices of the Council for Aboriginal Rights to publicise 'what it called 'positive angles of how to improve the situation [of Aborigines], and realistic schemes of alternative ways of life' (Attwood 2003: 143–6). McLeod's vision for the company found resonance with this Council who, according to Attwood, saw co-operatives as an alternative to capitalist modes of production and believed they could provide a new economic basis, at least for tribal people (2003: 146).

McLeod's facilitative input demonstrates the crucial role of the outsider in developing schemes that bring together Indigenous and non-Indigenous interests. The political vision and energy of McLeod was essential in enabling Aboriginal people to have a voice during this early period. Although it is possible that a strike would still have occurred, it probably would have remained localised in its immediate impact. McLeod assisted the strike leaders to develop the capacity to spread the word across many pastoral leases, and in raising funds to do so. It was this early radicalism, when McLeod became a champion of Indigenous rights, that harnessed the loyalty of the key Aboriginal founders of the company. However, it was three of these four men, as key founders, who left the company in the late 1950s because of McLeod's tight control over it and because, as Coppin argued, 'he wouldn't listen to nobody' (Read & Coppin 1999: 133).

McLeod's expertise lay with the mining enterprise, rather than pastoralism and he was more of an idealist than a businessman. The later split in the company appeared to stem from the fact that the Aboriginal members were not significantly involved in the company's financial planning, and that McLeod channelled significant amounts of company profits into expensive legal battles.¹⁸ According to Coppin (pers. comm. 2003) 'he also wanted to send money overseas to poor people'. McLeod was regarded as the 'book-keeper' and held the purse strings tightly. The use of this term is indicative, however, because although book keepers were important on stations, they were also peripheral to daily and social activity (Wilson 1961).¹⁹ A significant tension developed between those wanting cash for their labour and those content with the bigger picture of communal reward and consolidating the company empire. There is no doubt that in terms of the big picture issues this 'movement' was successful. However, it wasn't successful in immediately elevating people's standard of living: as Peter Coppin noted, 'I was with him for twenty years, and everything broken, no new anything. At least when we were on the stations we always had new boots. That's [partly] why we went against him' (pers. comm. 2003). There were also long periods when there was very little to eat. Wilson refers to 1955 as the 'starvation period' when the workers lived on kangaroo meat and water (in Read and Coppin 1999:117).

The success of McLeod's earlier organisational strategies was based on long-term association with the organisation members, a build up of trust and some understanding of Indigenous political structure. This, in turn, fed into Aboriginal commitment to the organisation. As noted he didn't plan the early meetings—he was invited to them and was later invited to take an advisory role as company Director. According to the biographies of McKenna (Palmer & McKenna 1978) and Coppin (Read & Coppin 1999) and discussions with Coppin and Allen, McLeod's role became far more than advisory.

Nevertheless, this early movement which led to the strike action and the formulation of the mining companies had its own impetus: it was not formed solely in reaction to development, but created development opportunities. According to McLeod, the mining venture was at the forefront in the region in developing mineral deposits (1984: 101–4). Yet, these companies weren't formed for solely economic purposes, although economic independence was a major driver. Both the Nodom and the Pindan Companies were also socio-political movements that had a broad and wide-ranging agenda that included, not only employment, but also education, health care, housing and dispute resolution methods to deal with civil and domestic conflicts. As Wilson observed 'life in the Group required more of a person's total participation than the 'station system'" (1961: 114). Wilson, whose ethnographic research occurred during the rise of the Pindan Company of the late 1950s, rather than the earlier Nodom, found that Pindan was both a socio-cultural unit and a 'political community' (1961: 118, 120).²⁰

There is no doubt that for a period of time Pindan functioned effectively and this is the focus of Wilson's thesis (1961). It would be equally interesting, however, to have focused on the reasons for the demise of Pindan. Nevertheless, there is value in teasing out elements of the apparently successful structure as it existed for several years, and consider why it worked for some people and not for others. Why was it that Pindan could not be sustained as a 'socio-cultural unit'?

DISPERSED GOVERNANCE

'Better to be poor altogether, not jealous. Not good to be boss.' (Peter Coppin pers. comm. 2003).

Legally the Pindan Company was owned by six Indigenous shareholders, plus McLeod and Elsey Lee.²¹ It was stated explicitly that no other Europeans could become legal members of the company. Officially the shares were held in trust for the general body of members, approximately 100 people, including the various camp bosses and committee members. As Wilson indicates, 'the expectation was that these members could control the actions of the shareholders, and if necessary withdraw them by majority status' (1961: 145). However, this apparent simplicity belied the elaborate structure of formal leadership which was, according to Wilson, 'conceived as a broad based pyramid with those most influential occupying the peak and with other leaders diffused throughout the base yet remaining responsible to those above them'. Three of the six Aboriginal shareholders regarded as the three most senior men were known as Directors—being Ernie Mitchell, Peter Coppin and Coombie. According to Wilson all three were of the riverline 'group', were ceremonial site holders and law leaders (1961: 146). Parallels can be made today with this type of leader. To gain legitimacy and some degree of authority it is not enough to have business acumen and a solid command of English, it is also essential to have credibility in the arena of Indigenous law.

There were no Aboriginal women shareholders (or Directors). There were 25 gang and camp bosses—the numbers varied depending on the areas worked. There were at least nine technicians, who included mechanics and rock-drillers. There were in total 72 'committee' members (apart from the Shareholders) and 26 'ordinary members' (Wilson 1961: 146). The committee members were equally divided between men and women.²² Interestingly, in a table of individuals in the Pindan Company hierarchy Wilson (1961: 146) has listed significantly more women as committee members (12 out of 14) than men even though, as previously indicated, there were roughly the same numbers of men and women committee members. Wilson has only listed those individuals regarded as 'important' in each of these roles. This suggests that women made the operation of the committee their own, while men occupied the vast majority of other roles—including those of 'ordinary members'. Wilson (1961: 148) indicates that wives of bosses were expected to be on the committee, providing they could fulfil their roles.

The mineral holdings of the first company, Nodom, operating between the late 1940s and early 1950's were based around 14 work camps (Wilson 1961: 89). Under the new Pindan Company these were reduced to 12 camps, six of which were camps engaged in prospecting or mining (1961: 136). These camps also tended to be residential areas. The structure of re-formed Pindan was not significantly different from the original Nodom Company. The work gangs were relocated to keep up with the changing market and the economic scope of activities widened to include fishing for pearl shell, shooting goats and kangaroos for hide, and collecting buffel-grass seed for sale (Wilson 1961: 103). These work camps operated as independent business units in terms of immediate localised decision making. Camp bosses were also work bosses in the smaller mining camps. The camp boss held authority over each dispersed residential area and their approval had to be given before any official meeting could be held. As Wilson indicates 'except in emergency, Directors and shareholders could not issue orders contrary to those given by a camp boss on matters of settlement administration' (1961: 147). Thus, a pattern of dispersed governance and consolidated residence emerged.

The work camps were linked to the central community of Two Mile, which acted as the administrative centre. This early form of governance could be regarded as 'connected localism' or 'relational autonomy' (Smith 2002: 25). However, it is not strictly a 'jurisdictional devolution' model in the sense that Smith writes of it, as the major decision making power was centralised with the six Directors, the majority of whom lived in Two Mile. Although much of the daily governance was dispersed, authority had not devolved

on all levels: the work camps were answerable to the central administration. Nevertheless, the concept of regionally dispersed and layered community governance operated as a means to mesh local authority with collective scope (see Smith 2002; Westbury and Sanders 2000).

The administrative base camp for the company, known as Two Mile, was just outside Port Hedland. It was here that the two senior Aboriginal Directors lived, along with the chief technicians. This was the acknowledged centre of the Pindan community, according to Wilson, and provided relative stability for the group even though many of the mining camps were impermanent. These camps were established within a well-known area defined in contemporary economic and social terms, rather than in classical cultural and sociolinguistic terms. Although there were 13 'tribes' or language groups represented in the membership of Pindan, the language of Nyangumarta, a coastal/riverline language, was generally adopted (Wilson 1961: 379).

One of the most striking aspects of the governance structure of Pindan was the lack of distinction between the operations of the commercial enterprise and of civil society, as this society consisted of company members. This structure fed into all aspects of Pindan member lives. If members were not happy with this all-encompassing way of life, they left or were asked to leave. Wilson recorded 14 such cases during his field research period (1961: 202). Teddy Allen was one of those who was asked to leave. He indicated that he was 'sacked' by McLeod in 1950 because he didn't pool all his earnings, after independently buying some clothes and other items with several others after selling buffel-grass (pers. comm. 2003). Entrepreneurialism was not encouraged.

CONTEMPORARY ORGANISATIONS

The contemporary organisations, such as Gumala and the Innawonga Banjyma Niapaili Corporation (IBN), cannot operate in a similar holistic manner as did these early organisations, for some obvious reasons.²³ The demographic pattern of Indigenous people has changed, with many people scattered across the Pilbara and beyond, far removed from the mine hinterlands. Within townships, families are scattered throughout the multi-racial towns, and over the last 15 years a number of families have settled on outstations or homelands. Even when people are living in the same town, such as Tom Price or Paraburdoo, there is no 'community' of shared interests—in fact, there is considerable diversity of interests. The majority of new corporation members do not work in the mining industry in any capacity and, though many are in receipt of welfare entitlements, there is great diversity in Indigenous economic interests. Whereas the several dispersed business units were also residential units for these early companies, there is no contemporary comparable work place 'community'. Nevertheless, the structural framework of these modern land use agreements, from which Gumala and the IBN corporation arose for instance, remains broad as they attempt to capture, or perhaps create, an overarching paradigm that is as inclusive as the framework of the earlier companies.

The land use agreements under consideration in this research project are complex and multi-faceted. For instance, Rio Tinto views their agreements in the Pilbara as 'long term investments' through the introduction of 'a wide range of programs', which includes 'taking responsibility for a wide range of social and cultural issues in the region' (Van de Bund 1996: 1). A fundamental aspect of these 'agreement benefit packages' are that they are developed as social as well as economic investments 'under community trust structures, education, training and employment commitments, Indigenous business development, cultural heritage protection, environmental co-management and cross-cultural education, amongst other things' (Harvey and Fry 2003). In fact, as these agreements attempt to reach into all aspects of Indigenous lives they could be interpreted as the attempted co-option of Indigenous parties to them, powerfully reminiscent of the earlier Pindan movement. Like the Nodom and Pindan Trust structures some see certain aspects of these modern Trusts as subject to too much external influence. For instance, some members of

IBN:
Innawonga
Banjyma Niapaili
Corporation

Gumala, which is a party to the Yandicoogina Agreement, feel that they have insufficient control over how the money in the Trust is distributed. The structure of the Gumala trust was the subject of review in late 2003 and the issue of the extent of cash payments was amongst the concerns of the review, according to Gumala members spoken with. Another issue was the need to provide greater support for the establishment of individual businesses.

The modern multi-articulated Indigenous lifestyle equates to both dispersed residence *and* dispersed governance, the implications of which can only be touched on in this paper. With the expansion of business opportunities flowing from multi-national mining companies such as Rio Tinto and BHP Billiton operating locally, smaller groups of self-identified families and language groups are seizing the increased options in making agreements exclusive to those within the 'group'. The structure of this modern group is driven politically by the native title claim process; the process itself is divisive due to the administrative need to draw exclusive boundaries around claimant groups spatially and genealogically. The group then effectively becomes the negotiator for agreements with mining companies. Thus, there is no solidary or unitary Indigenous interest as may emerge through a representative body. Indigenous interests are dispersed over many agreements as the one family or language group may be party to several agreements, each of which vary in terms of their success in delivering benefits than other agreements. While on the one hand this enables dispersed and layered leadership from within families and language groups, it is also competitive and not necessarily in the interests of the Indigenous parties to the agreements. Ideally a regional approach to standardising agreement making could overcome factionalism that arises due to this dispersed approach.

MANAGEMENT OF CONFLICT

'In conflict situations the source of authority becomes more explicit' (Wilson 1961: 117).

One of the central organising principles that structured Nodum and the later Pindan companies was the management of conflict. Conflict 'permeated almost every aspect of group life' (Wilson 1961: 151) and thus meetings to deal with conflict regularly occurred. 'Directors learnt of the problems of ordinary workers and they in turn received reports of the [various camp] leaders actions and future policies. Meetings were used to coordinate the work force and institute new mining units ... and [meetings were used for] settling conflicts'. The impression from Wilson's material is that these meetings kept the operations open and transparent, but it is also clear that they were vital for maintaining order. As indicated earlier, the casual kin-oriented groupings had to become more permanent and less contingent, to meet the demands of the wider organisation.

In light of Wilson's material in particular, a key theme to emerge over the many years of operation of these Indigenous companies has been the tension between autonomy or self interest and group or collective interest, and between equality and hierarchy. The encompassing framework of these companies is instructive of the processes that were implemented to impart information and to resolve disputes. The regularity of meetings was crucial in this regard as they kept a tight reign over the 'group' to maintain solidarity. If that was threatened, then the party involved was asked to leave.

The committee system, as dispersed throughout all the mining camps and at the central camp, was the major forum for dispute management. The essential criteria for being a committee member was being 'able to "speak up at meetings", putting a viewpoint clearly and forcefully' (Wilson 1961: 148). As indicated above, women tended to dominate this forum. They also held their own meetings every two days to decide on cooking duties and to air disputes between themselves. Unless these disputes were serious they did not reach a general meeting (Wilson 1961: 152).

The authority of the Pindan Company—principally held by committee members—also entered the realm of family disputes when they contravened camp rules or where the stability of the camp was at risk through domestic dispute. This included divorce meetings in order to annul a marriage in a formal yet amicable manner.²⁴ In fact, Wilson notes that even as negotiations were breaking down at the company level when Pindan was splitting, 'the group techniques for dealing with kin and domestic matters affecting the community remained and were regarded as legitimate' (1961: 286). It appeared that there was virtually no realm of camp life that was not under the purview of the company. For instance, a company Director officiated at the weddings of company members (Wilson 1961: 173), as well as at their divorces. Wilson also compares the structure of conflict resolution in law meetings with the secular meetings of Pindan. However, as he indicates, there are more reference to precedents and rulings in law decisions by senior ceremonial leaders (1961: 191). Judgments for secular decisions were, nevertheless, strong as 'persistent failure to abide by Pindan rulings resulted in warnings which, if not heeded were followed by expulsion' (Wilson 1961: 191). This monopoly over the punitive regulation of behaviour resonates with the structure of a 'total institution' in terms of it being all encompassing and reformatory.²⁵

One of the most common issues to emerge among contemporary organisations is the tension between 'too many meetings' and not enough of them. The old adage of 'if there is an information vacuum, then gossip and innuendo will soon fill it' is important to recall. Thus, the regularity of meetings to maintain the effective running of these early companies was crucial to allay knowledge vacuums, as well as giving people the opportunity to voice their concerns. Certainly it is clear that a major element of the purpose of the structure of these early companies was this venting of conflict and assertion of control.

STRUCTURES OF AUTHORITY AND LEADERSHIP

Wilson indicates that many of the leaders prominent in the camps and work gangs of the original company in 1952 remained influential figures in the re-constituted Pindan Company of 1959 (1961: 90). Thus, there was considerable continuity of leadership over the life of the organisation. However, also importantly these leaders left when Pindan failed to offer them the responsibility and decision-making powers they felt necessary. They broke away, taking the majority of the original company membership and developed organisations that catered to their ambitions (Read & Coppin 1999: 119). For example, Peter Coppin and Ernie Mitchell split from Pindan to start Yandeyarra station through the Mugarinya Company (McKenna 1978: 119), while Clancy McKenna had left earlier over the payment of wages.

Nevertheless, highly structured lines of authority operated over the span of Nodom and Pindan. Authority appeared to be diffused throughout the company by the institution of the 'committee', which not only automatically encompassed the formal leaders, such as the six shareholders, but also included forceful persons effective in administrative matters with no official leadership position (Wilson 1961: 148). Likewise, 'spokespersons emerged at times of crisis within the Group when factions developed [and equally they] could be withdrawn if the group sponsoring [them] agreed that [they] were not adequately stating their case' (Wilson 1961: 148). The fact, as mentioned above, that the almost half of the committee members were women, and they were the most 'important' on the committee, suggests that women played a greater role than was formally acknowledged.

Wilson notes that the person had to fit the role. The six Aboriginal shareholders 'were recruited in a manner which combined features of appointment and election ... [it] bore some similarity to the technique used by [Aboriginal people] to introduce new Law men. Eligible persons were nominated by leading members and the candidates suitability was discussed' (1961: 146).²⁶

Likewise, the limitations on power within this structure, was an issue for Pindan. Wilson indicates that 'it was recognised that leaders in one sphere tended to have power in another, even though they might have no actual [formal legal] authority' (1961: 204). 'Ritual leaders', who had experience on pastoral stations were powerful within these new organisations. However, as Wilson states,

... those from influential kin clusters had a greater chance of getting leadership positions. As the riverline people 'owned' the land and their middle aged and elderly men as a group were more acculturated in Western ways, than the equivalent Desert men, they had become predominant in the top leadership positions in the Company (1961: 204).²⁷

As noted above, they were also the ones to leave. The range of leadership roles was based on the ability of the individual to act as a public spokesperson and to be 'reasonable' (Wilson 1961: 188). Peter Coppin also used this term 'reasonable' and indicated that it 'required listening carefully to a lot of information from people in groups and then coming to what was essentially a compromise decision' (Read and Coppin 1999: 116). Thus, leadership was not based solely on traditional criteria, such as a ceremony leader or country owner, but importantly also on leadership skills and technical knowledge.²⁸

Wilson's ethnography of this early movement also traces the rise of the 'young men' into positions of power, many of whom had worked as independent contractors, for mining and/or pastoralism, before joining the company. A significant number of them were so-called 'half castes', including Mitchell, Coppin and McKenna. These people were adept at operating in the European business world, but also committed to their Indigenous business world of ritual. He indicates, however, that a recurring problem in the issue of leadership was that 'there were insufficient high status positions in the company for those who desired them, especially for the young men, more acculturated in Western ways' (1961: 199). This was the case even with the possibility of several positions at the top. And, as discussed, the reality was that McLeod was 'the boss', as he had the final say on the financial direction of the company.

Direct parallels can be seen today in the fission and fusion of Indigenous organisations, while the leadership of key people continues. For instance, in the early negotiation and development of the Indigenous organisations set up to manage major land use agreements over the last ten years one can see that the same individuals tend to hold the powerful roles. The first Chairperson of Gumala Aboriginal Corporation played a major role in developing it and clearly learnt a lot from the process, with the negotiation of the Yandicoogina Agreement described by him as a 'learning curve'. He then went on to found the IBN Corporation which has a significant overlapping membership with Gumala. The point is that this fission of organisations and leadership is dynamic, as opportunities are sought out.

There appear to be direct parallels with the ideologies behind modern trust arrangements and the earlier Nodom and Pindan Companies, to the extent that they operate on the basis of the collective and limit cash payments to members. Although land use agreements are negotiated between Indigenous and non-Indigenous interests, it is arguable that, like the earlier companies under the directive influence of Don McLeod, it was also the primarily external influences of mining interests that influenced the trust structures.

It is also worth noting that this schema of collectivity, referred to now as 'community', appears to have its strongest advocates externally. Recall the 1950s Council for Aboriginal Rights perspective on Pindan as a co-operative. Is there a perception that the collective approach to money is the best way for Aboriginal people to deal with the wider economy? This approach is not necessarily shared by Indigenous people themselves. As recalled by Wilson for the early companies, 'although the ideal remuneration scheme for the Group was a collective one, since its inception there had been spasmodic attempts to introduce 'cash

in hand' payments' (Wilson 1961: 203). Like the earlier leaders Ernie Mitchell and Peter Coppin, the original Chairman of Gumala also 'sniffed the wind' and formed another Corporation which may have the ability to offer its members more immediate returns in the form of cash payments

THE COMPANY AS AN INTER-CULTURAL PHENOMENON

Wilson conceptualises those who act as spokespersons for the company as 'bridgemen' (1961: 123), linking the company with 'outside authority and interpret[ing] events for members of all systems'. He indicates that kinship and law systems were integrated with the company. It is important to note that the men with authority were Law men, as well as technical experts. They had to be both to operate effectively and legitimately in this 'inter-cultural' arena (e.g. Martin 2003; Merlan 1998).²⁹

The importance of continuing the Law during the operation of the early companies was stressed by the Aboriginal company members. As McKenna stated to McLeod when he chose to leave Nodom, 'we Marangu just about forgotten our business. You told us once we got to leave all that law behind us, if we ever going to make anything. Well, I reckon that was a wrong thing' (1978: 109). However, on the other hand Coppin indicated that 'throughout the strike and even after ... 'the Split', the elders continued to do the Law...' (1998: 142). This may have been without the encouragement of McLeod, however.

The fact that McLeod controlled the finances was also clearly a major issue. In this key area there were strong perceptions that McLeod was not being accountable, that the maintenance and expansion of the company infrastructure was not transparent. As Peter Coppin noted 'people were signing cheques, but for what?' (pers. comm. 2003).

Attwood notes that the Council for Aboriginal Rights saw Pindan as a 'half-way house to full assimilation'. He argues that it was perceived 'as a means rather than an end in itself... instead of an example of an ongoing state of cultural difference and of a minority group claiming rights as a group' (2003: 147). It seems to me that neither perception is reasonable, as both radically underestimate the extent to which Pindan and the associated movements meant different things to different participants. The diversity of expectations by its Aboriginal members of what it could deliver to them was significant. This diversity was informed by language, culture and history. For many, the companies were vehicles to gain equality, so they could operate like other citizens. Clancy McKenna, for instance, left Pindan as he 'felt that the strike was now behind him. He had won for himself at least, the right to work, and keep a job like a white man. Now he wanted to be a success, to be a boss, and to earn money like other white men did' (Palmer & McKenna 1978: 105). Those who stayed with McLeod and formed the Nomad Company were operating less individualistically and were content to be part of a 'group' by following the leadership of McLeod. These were principally the desert Aboriginal people. Today, this corralling of so-called "Indigenous interests", where people have to operate in the interests of the group—through the vehicle of the elusive 'community'—is a strong pattern in the development discourse.

When the company split, it is noteworthy that it followed the cultural and regional divide of riverine/coastal and desert. As Wilson (1980:165) indicated, 'kin affiliations affected loyalties, the coastal 'riverline' people tending more to support Mitchell and Coppin, the desert people to be in sympathy with McLeod'. However, overarching kin affiliations were the different histories and expectations that Aboriginal people brought with them from these regions. As indicated earlier, the fact that Aboriginal leaders were from the coastal/riverline groups indicates that they brought with them qualities gained from their work as contractors, based on a history of interaction with settler enterprise. This raises the question of whether the business enterprise was culturally based, as it clearly did not comprise a coherent or homogenous Indigenous 'group' derived from classical or pre-contact group structure, however relational and contingent this group may have been. Several of the key leaders, such as Peter Coppin and Clancy McKenna were not

driven by the mining enterprise, but like many of the other coastal/riverline people, 'preferred instead the stock and horse work that [they] had grown up with and become so adept at' (Read & Coppin 1998: 134). There was a tendency in the 'split' for the coastal/riverline people to have shared a history in pastoralism, while desert people were more closely affiliated with mining as the more dominant economic opportunity in the desert region.

The composition of the contemporary organisations offers an interesting contrast to these earlier ones as they were defined in pragmatic economic and socio-historical terms. As outlined previously, the membership of the contemporary corporations established under land use agreements is politically defined in cultural terms under the *Native Title Act 1993*. Each negotiating body comprises a native title claimant group, thus defining Indigenous 'groups' in terms of shared language and land. This apparently convenient collectivity belies the negotiation that takes place within and between families, where this group is as contingent as siblings deciding to 'follow' their mother or their father for membership to different groups. The contemporary membership of these new organisations may be just as contingent as it was during the earlier period, when the issue of cultural groupings was on a much more regional scale and quite dependant upon individuals simply wanting to be involved.

CONCLUSION

The application of an historical framework to this contemporary research project is vital. In this Pilbara case study there is a precedent for significant Indigenous engagement in commercial development through the mining industry (unlike the Northern Territory, for instance). This early engagement was vigorous and lasted for over 20 years, allowing for change and development. Although this project is dealing with some new issues in terms of Indigenous *partnering* with large development companies, some of the key issues remain unchanged. The language of engagement may have changed from the Indigenous companies of the 1950s and 1960s to the post-native title present, but central aspects of the ideology underlying the engagement in these two periods are shared. The language has shifted from discussion of 'co-operatives' to 'communities', from 'egalitarian non-capitalist reform through a new economic basis' (Attwood 2003: 146; Wilson 1961) to 'long term investments under community trust structures' (Harvey and Fry 2003). The ideology behind both is all encompassing, seeking the complete immersion of the Indigenous polity to the regional mining agenda.

This paper attempts a preliminary comparative historical analysis in order to identify patterns that have emerged in the Indigenous engagement with enterprise in this Pilbara region. These patterns could be considered as principles of engagement in this inter-cultural context. Such principles include the role of the outsider, which in the case of Don McLeod was crucial in facilitating the establishment of these early companies. This is not to suggest that outsiders are always 'crucial' to developing Indigenous entrepreneurial enterprise, although external influences are often necessary to initially facilitate change. Nevertheless, the extent to which this external agent stays engaged in the enterprise may be dependant on whether their role, which is likely to be in the area of financial management, is charged with 'listening' to and engaging with their constituency, or in the contemporary case the agreement beneficiaries.

There are obvious tensions between the issue of maintaining the collectivity and the desire of individuals for autonomy. This manifests most clearly in the desire for cash in hand, rather than pooling for collective or 'community' benefit. Another aspect of this is the issue of transparency. When one receives cash, there is an immediate return and in this exchange, an understanding of where, or at least how, the money has been distributed. This can be alleviated by keeping people informed and including them in the 'book keeping'

process, rather than allowing it to be left to, or dominated by, the external agent. There is an interesting resonance here with the issue of cash wages in the early companies and cash payments by contemporary mining companies, as not perceived as a sound long-term investment.

Another emerging trend is that if leaders who want a long-term career have to 'listen' to their constituency and appear 'reasonable', which may also be a method of referencing majority perspective on an issue. This may appear problematic to external audiences, considering the cash in hand issue for instance. Nevertheless, successful Indigenous leadership has to recognise and acknowledge the diversity of interests and attempt to balance this against majority interests.

The continuity of leadership and the types of men who become leaders has emerged as another pattern. In this inter-cultural context leaders cannot be solely adept in the realm of commercial business but, have to be respected and have credibility in the religious context of local Indigenous Law. Conversely, however, they cannot have expertise solely in this area—they must have both attributes. The degree of expertise or knowledge in this area of law is, however, the most critical and debated amongst their constituents. The two realms of expertise sit in tension throughout the period of leadership. Furthermore, if the ambitions of these leaders can not be met, then new opportunities will be created or seized in this cycle of fission and fusion.

The tensions between big picture issues, such as social justice for all Aboriginal people in Western Australia, and on the ground issues of achieving a decent standard of living for those who undertake the work, was significant during this early movement. Tangible positive change needs to be evident to maintain loyalties, and this is equally true for contemporary organisations.

In this contemporary context not only is the wealth and thus the planning for its expenditure more significant, but mining companies also seek outcomes from their community program inputs, as the new era of corporate social responsibility embraces concepts such as 'sustainability'. The evaluation of the Rio Tinto Aboriginal Training and Liaison (ATAL) program in 2000 listed the 'trade-offs between culture and independence' as a 'threat' in the SWOT (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, threats) analysis. This perspective could be considered in light of the legalistic and restrictive framework under which the *Native Title Act 1993* is implemented, which (to oversimplify) emphasises the need to demonstrate adherence to tradition, as this refers to the practise of customary law and the continuity of attachments to land. It is arguable that this has encouraged a renaissance of tradition. At the same time this contradicts the policy framework, which emphasises engagement with the formal economy through land use agreements. Ironically, however, some of these agreements are structured around a communally-based benefits package, that also seek to mesh with the renaissance of tradition through the development of a neo-traditional communitarian economy in its emphases on Trust structures and discouragement of entrepreneurial activity.

NOTES

1. This indenture system was a contract between the employer and employee. In theory the employer could be fined for non-compliance with minimal conditions relating to food, clothing and health of employees. Aborigines were in turn obliged to remain with that employer (Wilson 1980: 153). However, this system has also been described as 'slavery', as freedom of movement was not possible and wages were either extremely low or not paid (McLeod 1984: 60).
2. The information I have about Donald Stuart is limited to Wilson's comments. He indicates that Stuart was employed by the Department of Native Affairs to 'combat 'white influences' in Pindan. He remained very antagonistic to McLeod' (1961: 105). Nevertheless, *Yandy*, although written in the 1950s, is a surprisingly revealing account of Indigenous political-economic life during that period. Stuart has a number of narrative voices that take us through the period that led up to the pastoral strike and through to the strike action. A perspective of one of the tin fields, Moolyella, narrated from the point of view of Aboriginal leader Dooley Bin Bin is as follows:

'It always depressed him, the Moolyella tin field. A grave of his people. Here came the cast-off old men and women, the lame and the blind, the feeble and ailing, turned adrift by the station owners to live or die as best they might. Here to Moolyella, to gouge alluvial tin, working old ground with yandies, winning enough of the small heavy black pebbles every day to buy the meagre rations for the morrow, here to Moolyella to rot and die came Dooley's people in their old age. Their relative, close 'lations, helped them, but Poverty was the lot of all blackfellas, and hunger was always grinning its most wilddog grin at Moolyella' (1959: 46).
3. As the novel *Yandy* suggests, prior to the establishment of the Aboriginal mining companies, women were strongly compelled to mine for different reasons, as the alternative was prostitution. 'It is better to yandy all day, half hungry, than to eat the tin of beef that is the fee of lying on the ground under a whitefella while he slakes his thirst at your throat and grinds his loins heavily, hurtfully, at your cold dry body' (Stuart 1959: 36).
4. During the early stages of Noddom the local economy of Aboriginal people was particularly hybrid (as per Altman 2001) as reliance on the customary economy in the form of hunting was also important for subsistence. There is evidence that this amalgam of the customary economy with income from the mining economy continued throughout the life of the companies. It could be conjectured that this Indigenous ability to harvest local food played an active role in maintaining the companies during the periods of poor mining profits.
5. The Shire of Roebourne history web site.
6. Shire of Roebourne web site.
7. Unlike alluvial mining, pastoralism had been an activity largely dominated by men. However, it had enabled Aboriginal families to continue consistently live on their country.
8. As a result of World War II Australia placed a trade embargo on the export of iron ore for steel making for the infrastructure of war.
9. Hamersley Iron is a business unit of the Rio Tinto group.
10. Wilson observed that the only time the Western Australia government encouraged a mission in the Pilbara (in this case in the form of financial assistance), was when the Roman Catholic church set up an Aboriginal pastoral station and established a school at White Springs. This was a specific attempt to stop the Pilbara Aboriginal Social movement in the late 1940s. Wilson notes that although this mission operated for a while, it held little attraction for the strikers (1980: 164).

11. This State negation of responsibility dates back to the granting of statehood in 1890 when the transfer of authority of the local Aboriginal population from the British Colonial office to the State occurred. Immediately after this transfer there was a move to favour settlers interests. Aboriginal permission to hunt over leasehold pastoral properties was repealed. The Aborigines Protection Board with its travelling inspectors visiting outlying regions was disbanded. Instead local police and magistrates were requested to add 'protection of Aborigines' to their usual duties. This led to greater local control by settler interests. The change was also evident in the funding for the administration and welfare of Aborigines. Whereas the colonial office had required that 1% of the gross revenue of the colony should be allocated in this direction the new government cancelled the ruling (Wilson 1980: 154).
12. It was also a punishable offence to 'entice' Aboriginal labour from stations and a number of Aboriginal people were arrested and jailed on this basis, including Clancy McKenna and Dooley BinBin (Read & Coppin 1999: 69; Wilson 1961: 58, 68).
13. According to Wilson, in late 1949 McLeod was asked by the senior law men to join the activities of the group. McLeod's active involvement had also previously been forbidden because of the regulation forbidding association between Aborigines and non-Aborigines. McLeod had been jailed under this law many times. He then provided his vehicle for the use of the group and began wolfram mining (Wilson 1980: 164).
14. Although the school was built, their application to the Education Department for a teacher was rejected on the grounds that it would entice Aboriginal workers from other stations to move to Yandeyarra if they were keen to have their children educated, and because such a move would be seen as de facto recognition of McLeod (Wilson 1980: 164–5).
15. According to Attwood the 'Council for Aboriginal Rights owed its founding to connections between the Communist leadership of the North Australian workers union ... and communist party members in Melbourne ... in 1951 ... The original committee included two Clergymen and the basis of its support broadened during the 1950s but the key players were socialists, who always held the main positions' (2003: 136).
16. By 1954 mineral sales were held up over prolonged litigation involving a joint mining venture with an Adelaide company. The group was supplying labour for the operation in return for monthly payments. When these fell in arrears, the Aboriginal labour ceased as well.
17. During this period there were also approximately equal numbers of Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal people in this area, although non-Aboriginal people were concentrated near the towns and Aboriginal on the stations and mining areas (Wilson 1961: 136).
18. One of these court cases involved challenging the Act of Native Affairs in the High Court. The contention was that it was an Act of slavery and so contravened the anti-slavery Act passed by the English parliament in 1833. According to McLeod the High Court 'admitted that Blackfellows were slaves under the Act. However, it ruled that Western Australia being a sovereign state, had the right to enslave any one it wanted to, providing it passed the necessary legislation' (1984: 60).
19. Wilson states that 'on pastoral properties, 'book keepers' kept accounts and did general secretarial work. Although important in the administration of the stations, socially they were peripheral to many of the activities'. He indicates that the Pindan members 'saw a similarity between McLeod's place in the company, and that of the station book keeper' (1961: 147, footnote).
20. Wilson refers here to Weber (1946: 338).
21. I am unsure of this non-Aboriginal woman's association with Pindan. However, it may be assumed that she was personally associated with McLeod.

22. Wilson has drawn a table showing the Pindan Company hierarchy and although he indicates that he has only listed 'important company members mentioned in the text', there appears to be some inconsistency in the numbers of 'committee members' versus 'ordinary members' (1961: 146).
23. The Gumala Aboriginal Corporation was established under an agreement with Rio Tinto over three Hamersley iron mines, while the IBN corporation was established over the BHP Billiton Area C mine.
24. According to Wilson 'marriage ceremonies in Pindan followed a modified Western pattern. The couple intending to marry 'put up' their request at a meeting where the kin clusters of the two persons discussed the matter. Unless there were irregularities such as 'crooked' [kinship] sections, or one of the applicants was already married, the acceptance was officially automatic' (1961: 173).
25. I am not asserting here that the Pindan Company was in any way like a prison (per Foucault 1977 or Ignatieff 1983), they were of course voluntary. However, the issues of maintaining social order and control were central to the daily operations of the camps as they consisted of company members.
26. A consideration of I.M Young's critique of democratic process in Iroquois federalism offers parallels with the election process here:

Leaders were chosen on merit, although they usually came from designated families; they were expected to respond to public opinion, and in extreme cases could be impeached if they abused their power; issues and policy proposals could come from anywhere in the federation; decision-making relied on deliberation both within and among member nations and included mechanisms of review (Young 2000: 241).

With the exception of the issue of scale, Young's brief summation of Indigenous North American democracy allows us to also consider Pindan as a comparable form of decision-making.

27. Wilson indicates that Pindan was made up of 13 different 'tribal' groups and migrants from others. However, they were not equally represented. The most numerous Njangomada [Nyangumarta] with 156 people, outnumbered the next largest 'tribal' grouping, the Njamals [Nyamal] with 42 people, by about 4:1. However, more broadly, these 13 groups were classified by the people themselves into 'riverline' and 'desert'. And taken as a whole the desert people of the Pindan outnumbered the riverline by 2:1 (Wilson 1961: 154). However, as discussed, the riverline people held the majority of the leadership positions.
28. Wilson discusses an element in the early failure of Nodom as its shortage of technicians. The company was reliant on a few people who were sufficiently expert in supervising the mining operations. When one of them happened to fall ill at a crucial time—when a shipment was due—the commercial vulnerability of the company was exposed. The issue of the level of education and technical capacity of the company members is acknowledged as crucial to the Company's success, as was the training of apprentices. Wilson mentions that there were four apprentice mechanics in Pindan (1961: 150).
29. Inter-cultural theory has an extensive literature within post-colonial studies internationally. In particular the work of Bhabha and his concept of the 'third space' (1995) and Brathwaite (1971) on creolisation in Jamaica.

REFERENCES

- Altman, J.C. 2001. 'Sustainable development options on Aboriginal land: The hybrid economy in the twenty-first century', *CAEPR Discussion Paper No. 226*, CAEPR, ANU, Canberra.
- Attwood, B. 2003. *Rights for Aborigines*, Allen and Unwin, Sydney.
- Bhabha, H. 1995. 'Cultural diversity and cultural differences', in B. Ashcroft, G. Griffiths and H. Tiffin (eds), *The Post-Colonial Studies Reader*, Routledge, London and New York.
- Brathwaite, E. 1971. *The Development of Creole Society in Jamaica 1770–1820*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Dames and Moore 2000. Evaluation of Aboriginal Training and Liaison Program, Draft report for Hamersley Iron Limited, March, Adelaide.
- Edmunds, M. 1989. *They Get Heaps: A Study of Attitudes in Roebourne Western Australia*, Aboriginal Studies Press, Canberra.
- Foucault, M. 1977. *Discipline and Punish*, A. Sheridan (trans.), Allan Lane, London.
- Gerritsen, R. 1982. 'Blackfellas and Whitefellas', in P. Loveday (ed.), *Service Delivery to Remote Communities*, North Australia Research Unit, Darwin.
- Harvey, B. and Fry, E. 2003 'What Cost Native Title? Speaking notes for MCA Sustainable Development Conference, 12 November 2003, Brisbane.
- Howard, M.C. 1978. *'Whitefella Business': Aborigines in Australian Politics*, Institute for the Study of Human Issues, Philadelphia.
- Ignatieff, M. 1983. 'State, civil society and the total institution: A critique of recent social histories of punishment', in D. Sugerman (ed.), *Legality, Ideology and the State*, Academic Press, London, New York.
- Kolig, E. 1989. 'The powers that be and those who aspire to them: Power, knowledge and reputation in Australia Aboriginal society', in J.C. Altman (ed.), *Emerging Inequalities in Aboriginal Australia*, Oceania Monograph 38, University of Sydney, Sydney.
- Martin, D.F. 2003. 'Rethinking the design of Indigenous organisations: The need for strategic engagement', *CAEPR Discussion Paper No. 248*, CAEPR, ANU, Canberra.
- McLeod, D. 1984. *How the West was Lost: The Native Question in the Development of Western Australia*, Copyright D.W. McLeod, P.O Box 139, Port Hedland, Western Australia, 6721, Australia.
- Merlan, F. 1998. *Caging the Rainbow: Places, Politics and Aborigines in a North Australian Town*, University of Hawai'i Press, Honolulu.
- Palmer, K and McKenna, C., 1978. *Somewhere Between Black and White: The Story of an Aboriginal Australian*, The Macmillan Company of Australia, Melbourne and Sydney.
- Read, J. and Coppin, P. 1999. *Kangushot: The Life of Nyamal Lawman Peter Coppin*, Aboriginal Studies Press, Canberra.
- Rowley, C.D. 1970. *The Remote Aborigines*, Australia National University Press, Canberra.
- Smith, D. 2002. 'Jurisdictional devolution: Towards an effective model for Indigenous community self-determination', *CAEPR Discussion Paper No. 233*, CAEPR, ANU, Canberra.
- Stuart, D. 1959. *Yandy*, Georgian House, Melbourne.
- Van de Bund, J. 1996. An Analysis of a Successful Training and Employment Program with a Major Mining Company, Presented at the Doing Business with Aboriginal Communities Conference, AIC Conferences Pty. Limited, 27–29 February, Darwin.

- Weber, M. 1946. *From Max Weber: Essays in Sociology*, Translated, edited and with an introduction by H.H Gerth and C. Wright Mills, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Westbury, N., and Sanders, W. 2000, 'Governance and service delivery for remote Aboriginal communities in the Northern Territory: Challenges and opportunities', *CAEPR Working Paper No. 6*, CAEPR, ANU, Canberra.
- Wilson, J. 1961. Authority and Leadership in a 'New Style' Australian Aboriginal Community: Pindan Western Australia, Unpublished MA Thesis, University of Western Australia, Perth.
- Wilson, J. 1980. 'The Pilbara Aboriginal Social Movement: An outline of its background and significance', in R. Berndt and C. Berndt (eds), *Aborigines of the West: Their Past and Their Present*, University of Western Australia Press, Perth.
- Young, I.M. 2000. 'Hybrid democracy: Iroquois federalism and the postcolonial project', in D. Ivison, P. Patton and W. Sanders (eds), *Political Theory and the Rights of Indigenous Peoples*, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom.